

SlingShot 2.0 Regional Plan Implementation RFA

Q&A

October 26, 2018

Q: 1) Can a Regional Planning Unit (RPU) opt out of applying for the SlingShot 2.0 – Regional Plan Implementation competitive RFA and still receive the Regional Organizer, Regional Training Coordinator and training funds?

A: Yes. RPUs can opt out of applying for the SlingShot 2.0 – Regional Plan Implementation initiative. The RPU will still automatically receive their allocation of Regional Organizer, Regional Training Coordinator and training funds.

Q: 2) Will the Regional Organizer, Regional Training Coordinator and training funds be three (3) separate grant codes or will all funding be grouped under one (1) grant code?

A: All funds will be allocated under one grant code.

Q: 3) When will the SlingShot 2.0 – Regional Plan Implementation, additional Regional Organizer, Regional Training Coordinator and training funds be allocated?

A: Funds will be awarded on the same timeframe as the competitive awards, January 2019.

Q: 4) Will the new Regional Organizer and Regional Training Coordinator funds be added to the current grants/fiscal agents?

A: No. The new funding will be provided a separate grant code because the life of the funds is a different funding year.

Q: 5) Is the primary focus of the SlingShot 2.0 – Regional Plan Implementation RFA to continue the current Regional Plan Implementation efforts or to continue only the SlingShot efforts?

A: The focus is to expand and build upon current Regional Plan Implementation and SlingShot efforts as bolded in the RFA. If a region proposes efforts that are listed in their current RPI initiative, the RPU must clearly outline how they are going to enhance or expand efforts as to not duplicate current deliverables and outcomes.

Q: 6) What is allocation to continue the new Regional Training Coordinator scope of work?

A: Regions will receive between \$125,000 and \$135,000 for their RO, RTC, and training combined. The region's fiscal agent will complete budget exhibits to outline how those funds will be divided.

SlingShot 2.0 Regional Plan Implementation RFA

Q: 7) What is the reason for new RO, RTC and Training funds being lumped all under one grant code versus multiple grant codes?

A: Efficiency for the regions and the state.

Q: 8) Does the required signature letter designating the Regional Planning Units Fiscal Agent have a template or does the RPU need to simply provide a letter?

A: There is no template. RPU can submit a simple letter with applicable signatures.

Q: 9) The Request for Application lists the due date and time as Pacific Daylight Savings Time. The time is changing soon, so what is the new time the applications are due by?

A: November 19, 2018 at 3pm PST.

Q: 10) Is there an estimated start date that new funding and SlingShot 2.0 Regional Plan Implementation will be effective?

A: Project will be effective as of April 1, 2019.

Q: 11) Will SlingShot 2.0 Regional Plan Implementation be extended past the 18 months if a region is not able to expend all grant funds?

A: No. All grants are structured to follow the grant terms as outlined in the subgrant agreement.

Q: 12) Can the region propose topics that were worked on in prior SlingShot initiative?

A: Yes. The RPU can propose prior SlingShot efforts, but the RPU must clearly outline and identify how the SlingShot efforts will be built upon and scaled. RPU must ensure that the SlingShot is not duplicative.

November 16, 2018

Q: 13) The RFA, on page 9, bullet 4 asks for a proposed timeline for each of the activities and lead responsibility. How is this information different than Form I? Should Form I be a summary of what is discussed in the narrative for this bullet?

A: Page 9 of the RFA, bullet 4 asks that the narrative includes a proposed timeline and a list of entities that will take the lead responsibility for each of the activities. This should be a summary of the specific objectives and activities listed in the Form I. The narrative should include a high level timeline for the proposed regional plan implementation activities described in Bullet 3. Form I should

SlingShot 2.0 Regional Plan Implementation RFA

be a more detailed timeline estimated completion dates for each objective/activity. The list of entities that will take the lead responsibility for each of the activities should also be included in Form J Partners.

Q: 14) For Form H: Is the "Strategy/Objective" in column 1 of the table referring to the strategy/objective from the Regional Plan being addressed or is this referring to strategies/objectives that we are proposing in the narrative?

A: The "Strategy/Objective" in column 1 of Form H refers to the strategy/objective from the Regional Plan. The "activities" and "deliverable/outcomes" columns refer to the strategies/objectives/activities/outcomes you are proposing to implement to achieve the regional plan objectives.

Q: 12) For Form H: The "Activity" in column 2, appear to be for activities proposed in the narrative, correct? The "Complete Date" -- are these dates that we are targeting for completion of the activities? How are these different from Form I Workplan timeline?

A: On Form H the "activity" in Column 2 are for the activities proposed in the narrative. Form H is a picture of the proposal by objectives, activities, and outcomes and when each of these will be completed. Form I is a chronological look at the objectives and activities...what activities overall will be completed in each quarter. Together, these forms will provide an overview of the strategies and objectives and outcomes that will be achieved and a chronological list of the activities that will achieve them.